



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/754,713	01/12/2004	Jason Whitman Keith Brothers	062070-0311769	1344

909 7590 12/07/2007  
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP  
P.O. BOX 10500  
MCLEAN, VA 22102

EXAMINER
----------

PALIWAL, YOGESH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2135

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

12/07/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/754,713

Applicant(s)

KEITH BROTHERS ET AL.

Examiner

Yogesh Paliwal

Art Unit

2135

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Yogesh Paliwal.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Syed Jafar Ali (Reg# 58,780).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 28 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All the independent claims + Claims 8 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shetty (US 6,772,345) and Alampalayam.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Yogesh Paliwal  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Ali initiated this phone interview to discuss §112, first paragraph rejection for claims 8 and 21 and §103 rejections of all independent claims. Mr. Ali clarified how paragraph 59 of the originally filed specification provides written description support for the limitations in claims 8 and 21. However, no agreement was reached and examiner suggested applicant to clarify it on the record. Regarding §103 rejections for all independent claims, applicant argued that his system has client specific signatures to detect attacks. Examiner in response pointed out that current set of claim language does not require signature to be client specific. However, agreement was reached that Shetty does not explicitly teaches client specific signatures.